

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,815		03/07/2002	Thomas R. Fenelon	00-263	7978 .
719	7590	02/27/2004	EXAMINER		NER
	PILLAR IN	. = -	CHANG, CHING		
PATENT	. ADAMS SΊ Γ DEPT.	TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PEORIA, IL 616296490				3748	./
				DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
••		10/09	92,815	FENELON ET A	AL.				
Offic	Exam		Art Unit						
	•			3748	1 1				
The MA	ILING DATE of this comm		Chang  n the cover sheet was the cover sheet w						
Period for Reply	······································				10				
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON  - If the period for re  - If NO period for re  - Failure to reply wi Any reply receiver	DATE OF THIS COMMULe may be available under the provising the provision of the provi	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within th n statutory period will apply a pply will, by statute, cause th hs after the mailing date of t	no event, however, may a te statutory minimum of th and will expire SIX (6) MC te application to become a	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered tir  DNTHS from the mailing date of this  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.				
Status									
1) Respons	sive to communication(s)	filed on <u>05 January</u>	<u>2004</u> .						
2a)  This acti									
/ <del></del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cla	aims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	a 1-14 is/are pending in the e above claim(s) is is/are allowed.  a 1-14 is/are rejected.  a is/are objected to are subject to res	s/are withdrawn fron							
Application Pape	rs								
9)∐ The spec	ification is objected to by	the Examiner.							
	ring(s) filed on is/a			·					
	may not request that any of	-							
	nent drawing sheet(s) includ or declaration is objected	_	•						
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
a) All b 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Ce ap	edgment is made of a clair  Cl	ity documents have ity documents have es of the priority doc tional Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in a tuments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nation	al Stage				
to the control will be an experience of		ma era e	er e man		Company and the				
Attachmont/=\									
	erson's Patent Drawing Review losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 I Date		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)				

Art Unit: 3748

### **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 5, 2004 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6-8, 9, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu (US Patent No. 5,690,841) in view of Bunker et al. (US Patent No. 6.067,946).

Hu discloses a fluid system (See Fig. 1) for an internal combustion engine (10), said internal combustion engine including a head assembly (20, 52) having at least one

Art Unit: 3748

subsystem (30, 32, 40, 60, 70) positioned therein, said fluid system comprising: a fluid reservoir (78); hydraulic fluid (50) contained within said stand alone fluid reservoir; and a fluid pump (80) operatively supplying said hydraulic fluid to the head assembly and being used for actuating the at least one subsystem positioned in the head assembly of the internal combustion engine, wherein said fluid system includes a high pressure fluid manifold (64), wherein said hydraulic fluid is used to actuate an exhaust valve actuation system (30, 32, 40, 60, 70), wherein said exhaust valve actuation system is a compression release brake system (See ABSTRACT; Col. 3, line 29 through line 52), where said hydraulic fluid is used to actuate an intake valve actuation system (30, 32, 40, 60, 70).

Hu further discloses that the hydraulic fluid may be ... any other suitable fluid (See Col. 4, line 12 through line 13).

This recitation by Hu is deemed by the Examiner to be an indication that such is a stand alone system isolated from any other fluid systems. Furthermore, the use of a "hydraulic fluid" is an express statement that the fluid entered to actuate the valve, does not contain other fluids utilized by the engine.

Hu, however, fails to disclose the head assembly being completely sealed.

The patent to Bunker on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of hydraulic valve actuation system, to have a cylinder head (23) completely sealed in order to accommodate a valve actuation system 20.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the completely sealed head assembly as taught by

Art Unit: 3748

Bunker in the Hu device, since the use thereof would provide an improved hydraulic valve actuation system.

3. Claims 1-2, 8, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al. (US Patent No. 5,501,186) in view of Bunker et al. (US Patent No. 6,067,946).

Hara discloses a fluid system (See Figs 5-6, 10) for an internal combustion engine, said internal combustion engine including a head assembly having at least one subsystem (10, 12, 14, 80, 120) positioned therein (See Col. 2, line 39 through Col. 3, line 53; Col. 5, line 27 through Col. 5, line 52), said fluid system comprising: a fluid reservoir (Figs 6, 10); hydraulic fluid contained within said fluid reservoir; and a fluid pump (112) operatively supplying said hydraulic fluid to the head assembly and being used for actuating the at least one subsystem positioned in the head assembly of the internal combustion engine; wherein said fluid system includes a high pressure fluid manifold (See Col. 3, line 54 through Col. 4, line 14; Col. 5, line 53 through Col. 6, line 18); where said hydraulic fluid is used to actuate an intake valve actuation system (12, 14, 70, 72, 80, 120).

Hara further discloses a hydraulic diagram (Fig. 6) for the said fluid system (See Col. 3, line 54 through Col. 4, line 14; Col. 5, line 53 through Col. 6, line 18). Since the hydraulic fluid system shown in Figure 6 has different operation characteristics as compared to the existing engine oil lubrication system, the hydraulic fluid system disclosed by Hara is considered by the Examiner as a stand alone system isolated from

any other fluid systems with a stand alone fluid reservoir, and be used soley for actuating the at least said one subsystem.

Hara, however, fails to disclose the head assembly being completely sealed.

The patent to Bunker on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of hydraulic valve actuation system, to have a cylinder head (23) completely sealed in order to accommodate a valve actuation system 20.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the completely sealed head assembly as taught by Bunker in the Hara device, since the use thereof would provide an improved hydraulic valve actuation system.

4. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu in view of Bunker (as applied to claims 1 and 9 above), and further in view of Glassey (US Patent No. 5,191,867).

The modified Hu device, however, fails to disclose the said subsystem is a fuel injection system.

The patent to Glassey on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the hydraulic system application art, to utilize a hydraulic system (20) to actuate a fuel injection system (10, 18).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the hydraulic system to actuate a fuel injection system as taught by Glassey in the modified Hu device, since the use thereof would provide an improved fuel injection system for the internal combustion engine.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,815 Page 6

Art Unit: 3748

5. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara in view of Bunker (as applied to claims 1 and 9 above), and further in view of Glassey (US Patent No. 5,191,867).

The modified Hara device, however, fails to disclose the said subsystem is a fuel injection system.

The patent to Glassey on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the hydraulic system application art, to utilize a hydraulic system (20) to actuate a fuel injection system (10, 18).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the hydraulic system to actuate a fuel injection system as taught by Glassey in the modified Hara device, since the use thereof would provide an improved fuel injection system for the internal combustion engine.

6. Claims 3-4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu (as applied to claims 1-2, and 13/9 above) in view of Bunker, and further in view of Bartley (US Patent No. 6,220,521).

The modified Hu device, however, fails to disclose a heat exchanger (or a heater) operatively connected between the fluid pump and the high pressure manifold for a camless engine.

The patent to Bartley on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the heat exchanger application art, to utilize a heat exchanger (33) to maintain the hydraulic fluid temperature of a hydraulic system (60) in a camless engine (30).

Application/Control Number: 10/092,815 Page 7

Art Unit: 3748

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized a heat exchanger as taught by Bartley in the modified Hu device, since the use thereof would maintain the hydraulic fluid at an acceptable viscosity during different operating temperatures of the engine.

7. Claims 3-4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara (as applied to claims 1-2, and 13/9 above) in view of Bunker, and further in view of Bartley (US Patent No. 6,220,521).

The modified Hara device, however, fails to disclose a heat exchanger (or a heater) operatively connected between the fluid pump and the high pressure manifold for a camless engine.

The patent to Bartley on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the heat exchanger application art, to utilize a heat exchanger (33) to maintain the hydraulic fluid temperature of a hydraulic system (60) in a camless engine (30).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized a heat exchanger as taught by Bartley in the modified Hara device, since the use thereof would maintain the hydraulic fluid at an acceptable viscosity during different operating temperatures of the engine.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,815 Page 8

Art Unit: 3748

### Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In addition, in response to applicants' argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "Furthermore, the Hu reference teaches a fluid system to improve cam and valve interaction. This does not address the problem of keeping the operating fluid free from contaminants such as from combustion, controlling the temperature for purposes of response time of the systems, or using a fluid that may not be suitable for any other hydraulic system on a machine or in an internal combustion engine" (See Page 4 of Attorney's REMARKS)) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Stockner (US Patent No. 5,517,972).

Art Unit: 3748

on/Control Number: 10/032,0

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ching Chang whose telephone number is (703)306-3478. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703)308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Patent Examiner** 

Ching Chang

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 9